A new era in Management of CKD and the role of Dapagliflozin

Dr. Hormaz Dastoor

Consultant Nephrologist at Seha Kidney Care and Sheikh Shakhbout Medical City



Disclaimer

- AstraZeneca abides by the IFPMA Code of Pharmaceutical Marketing Practices, the Middle East & Africa LAWG Code of Practices and AstraZeneca Global Policies, and as such will not engage in the promotion of unregistered products or unapproved indications
- Presentations are intended for educational purposes only and do not replace independent professional judgment. Statements of fact and opinions expressed are those of the speakers individually and, unless expressly stated to the contrary, are not the opinion or position of AstraZeneca. AstraZeneca does not endorse or approve, and assumes no responsibility for, the content, accuracy or completeness of the information presented
- Please refer to the appropriate approved Product Information before prescribing any agents mentioned in this presentation

Over 840 million people suffer from CKD worldwide¹

Meta-analysis estimating the global prevalence of CKD (stages 3–5)^{2,a}



^aGlobal prevalence reported as percentage with 95% confidence intervals.

 $_{3}$ CKD = chronic kidney disease.

1. Jager KJ et al. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2019;34:1803-1805; 2. Hill NR et al. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0158765.

Compared with T2D alone, comorbid CKD increases CV mortality

Standardised 10-year cumulative incidence of CV mortality by diabetes and kidney disease status



4

Risk of CV events in patients with diabetes increases as albuminuria progresses and eGFR declines



Diagnosis of CKD relies on assessment of kidney damage and/or function¹

Early-stage k	idney	disease	is usually
asympt	omati	ic, requi	ring
laboratory	tests	for dete	ection ¹

Guideline-recommended laboratory tests to
evaluate and stage kidney disease includeeGFRAlbuminuria
(UACR)Index of kidney functionMarker of kidney damage

Clinical diagnosis of CKD is defined <u>eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73m²</u> or as <u>UACR >30 mg/g</u> which persists for > 3 months

UACR and eGFR should be <u>assessed annually in all patients</u> with T2D regardless of treatment, and <u>twice annually in patients with UACR>30mg/g and/or eGFR<60 mL/min/1.73m^{2 2}</u>

1. Levey AS, et al. JAMA 2015;313:837–846; 2. American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care 2020;43(suppl 1):S135–S151; 3. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD Work Group. Kidney Int Suppl 2013;3:1–150

KDIGO recommends screening for CKD in high-risk individuals such as those with hypertension, diabetes, or CVD¹



This CKD screening strategy:¹

Prioritizes identification of persons at high risk for CKD progression and CV events, with established treatment strategies

Detects individuals with CKD at a lower cost per case identified than population-wide screening programs

Hypertension, diabetes, and CVD are established CKD risk factors² Therefore, CKD prevalence is expected to be higher among these individuals¹

CKD = chronic kidney disease; CV = cardiovascular; CVD = cardiovascular disease; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; KDIGO = Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes; NHANES = National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; UACR = urine albumin:creatinine ratio.

7 1. Shlipak MG et al. *Kidney Int.* 2021;99:34-47; 2. United States Renal Data System. 2018 Annual Data Report. Chronic kidney disease: CKD in the general population. https://www.usrds.org/media/1723/v1_c01_genpop_18_usrds.pdf.

© AstraZeneca 2021

Assessment of both eGFR and UACR is critical for diagnosing CKD and predicting prognosis¹

Risk of progr	ession						
Low risk (if of kidney di	no other m isease, no (arkers CKD)		Progres	sing kidney damage (UA	ACR)	
Moderately	increased	risk		Persistent albuminuria categories ^a			
High risk A1 A2						A3	
Very high ri	sk	Prognosis of CKD by Gl and albuminuria catego	FR ries	Normal to mildly increased <30 mg/g	Moderately increased 30–299 mg/g	Severely increased ≥300 mg/g	
2	G1	Normal or high	≥90	Monitor	Treat	Treat and consult	
n (eGFF 25 m ²)	G2	Mildly decreased	60–89	Monitor	Treat	Treat and consult	
functio egorie /1.73 1	G3a	Mildly to moderately decreased	45–59	Treat	Treat	Treat and consult	
kidney FR cat ./min/	G3b	Moderately to severely decreased	30–44	Treat	Treat and consult	Treat and consult	
eclining Gl (ml	G4	Severely decreased	15–29	Treat and consult	Treat and consult	Treat and consult	
	G5	Kidney failure	<15	Treat and consult	Treat and consult	Treat and consult	

Figure from KDIGO 2020²; hypothetical patient profile ^aAlternative units for these three UACR categories include: <3 mg/mmol, 3–30 mg/mmol, and >30 mg/mmol² See slide notes for abbreviations and references

The causes of CKD are diverse, with hypertension and diabetes responsible for more than half of all CKD cases

Age-standardized global prevalence rate of CKD by cause per 100,000 persons in 2016¹





^aSelf-reported CVD.

CKD = chronic kidney disease; CVD = cardiovascular disease; UI = uncertainty interval.

9 1. Xie Y et al. Kidney Int. 2018;94:567-581; 2. National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. Kidney disease statistics for the United States. https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/health-statistics/kidney-disease; 3. Jager KJ et al. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2017;32:ii121-ii128.

Data suggest a high rate of underdiagnosis and extremely low patient awareness of early-stage CKD



^aExcluding end-stage kidney disease treated with dialysis or kidney transplant; ^bEHR-based registry jointly curated and sponsored by PSJH and UCLA using Epic EHRs (Epic Systems); ^cPatients who met the initial CURE-CKD registry criteria were diagnosed with CKD using the CKD-epidemiology equation from the mean of at least two serum creatinine measurements ≥90 days apart; ^dNHANES data are representative of the non-institutionalized U.S. population, with oversampling of certain subgroups to increase reliability and precision of health indicator estimates; ^eAwareness was assessed as those who reported being told that they had kidney disease; ^fPooled data of 1164 patients with evidence of CKD from the 2009, 2010 and, 2016 Health Survey for England, an annual, population-based cross-sectional survey of adults and children living in private households in England.

CKD = chronic kidney disease; CURE-CKD = Center for Kidney Disease Research, Education, and Hope; EHR = electronic health record; NHANES = National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; PSJH = Providence St. Joseph Health; UCLA = University of California, Los Angeles.

1. Tuttle KR et al. JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2:e1918169; 2. United States Renal Data System. 2020 Annual Data Report. Chronic kidney disease: CKD in the general population. https://adr.usrds.org/2020/chronic-kidney-disease/1-ckd-in-the-general-population; 3. Sultan AA et al. Presented at: WCN; April 16-19, 2021; Virtual.

Prevalence of Chronic Kidney Disease



- More than <u>1 in 7, that is 15%</u> of US adults or 37 million people, are estimated to have CKD.^{*}
- As many as 9 in 10 adults with CKD do not know they have CKD.
- About 2 in 5 adults with **severe** CKD **do not know** they have CKD.



More than 1 in 7

15% of US adults are estimated to have chronic kidney disease—that is about 37 million people.



.

⁴ These estimates were based on a single measure of albuminuria or serum creatinine; they do not account for the persistence of albuminuria or levels of creatinine that are higher than normal as indicated by the KDIQO recommendations.

- UAE Population as of March 2022 is **10.08 Million**.
- The Total Expat Population of UAE in 2022 is 8.92 Million.



Population pyramid in UAE



Generated from US Census Bureau International Data Base using Excel 2019

Percent of Patients with Renal Disease Based on eGFR



17

Kidney Disease is a Global Crisis



Source: WHO Global Health Estimates.

Leading causes of death in high-income countries



Source: WHO Global Health Estimates. Note: World Bank 2020 income classification.

Improvement in CKD Mortality Has Been Limited, While the RRT Burden Has Continued to Rise



CKD = chronic kidney disease; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder; RRT = renal replacement therapy.

19 1. GBD 2017 Causes of Death Collaborators. *Lancet.* 2018;392:1736-1788; 2. Liyanage T, et al. *Lancet.* 2015;385:1975-1982.

Costs in 2019

- Overall Medicare costs for people with CKD were \$87.2 billion in 2019
 - \$24,453 per Medicare beneficiary > 65 years.
- Total Medicare fee-for-service spending (including prescription drugs) for patients with ESRD or kidney failure reached \$37.3 billion.
 - <u>\$86,400 per person ~ AED 328,624</u>
 - 7% of the Medicare paid claims costs.

- CKD impacts 1 in 9 (~850 million) people globally¹
 - ~2.6 million receiving RRT
 - ~1.2 million deaths annually
- The number receiving RRT is estimated to increase to more than <u>5 million by 2030</u>
- Many developed nations spend over 2-3% of their annual healthcare budget on ESKD treatment alone

Treatment Strategies in Chronic Kidney Disease

RAASi has been the standard of care for CKD for past 20 years to delay CKD progression



aRRR, absolute relative risk reduction; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; IDNT, Irbesartan Diabetic Nephropathy Trial; RAASi, renin-angiotensin-aidosterone system inhibitors; RENAAL, Reduction of Endpoints in NIDDM with the Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan; T2D, type 2 diabetes

31 1. Brenner BM et al. N Engl J Med. 2001;345:861–9; 2. Lewis EJ et al. N Engl J Med. 2001;345:851–60

However, RAASi still possesses substantial residual risk of CKD progression



DKD, diabetic kidney disease; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; SoC, standard of care

32 1. Brenner B, et al. N Engl J Med 2001;345:861–869; 2. Lewis EJ, et al. N Eng J Med 2001;345:851–860

In T2DM optimal risk factor management does not eliminate risk of diabetic nephropathy^a



^aDiabetic nephropathy was defined as a urinary albumin excretion of more than 300 mg/24 hours in two of three consecutive sterile urine specimens²; ^bHypertension was treated with ACE inhibitors as initial treatment with angiotensin-II receptor antagonist used if adverse events. Thiazides, calcium-channel blockers, and beta-blockers added as needed; ^cAntidiabetic therapy with metformin, gliclazide, and/or insulin; ^dHypertriglyceridemia treatment with fibrate; ^eDyslipidemia treatment with statin.

33 1. Gaede P et al. Lancet. 1999;353:617–622; 2. Fioretto P et al. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2010;6:19–25.

Effect of losartan and irbesartan, compared to placebo, on the risk of renal composite^{1,2}

	Treatmen	nt group	Placeb	o group			
Renal outcome	Number (%)	Events per 100 P-Y	Number (%)	Events per 100 P-Y		Risk value	P value
RENAAL1					ian ian	HR (95% CI)	
Primary composite end point	327 (43.5)	15.9	359 (47.1)	18.1		0.84 (0.72, 0.98)	0.02
dSCr	162 (21.6)	7.9	198 (26.0)	10.0		0.75 (0.61, 0.92)	0.006
ESRD	147 (19.6)	6.8	194 (25.5)	9.1		0.68 (0.58, 0.89)	0.002
All-cause mortality	158 (21.0)	6.8	155 (20.3)	6.6		1.02 (0.81, 1.27)	0.88
				:	50 30 10 -10	-30	
IDNT ²						RR (95% CI)	
Primary composite end point	189 (32.6)	-	222 (39.0)	-		0.81 (0.67-0.99)	0.03
dSCr	98 (16.9)	-	135 (23.7)	-		0.71 (0.54-0.92)	0.009
ESRD	82 (14.2)	-	101 (17.8)	-		0.83 (0.62-1.11)	0.19
	07 (15 0)		93 (16.3)	4		0.94 (0.70-1.27)	0.69

SGLT2i – Explaining its Role in Prevention and Progression of Chronic Kidney Disease

SGLT2 inhibition and RAAS blockade both reduce glomerular pressure and hyperfiltration by complementary mechanisms^{1–3}



© AstraZeneca 2021

1. Van Bommel EJ, et al. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2017;12:700–710; 2. Seidu S, et al. *Prim Care Diabetes* 2018;12:265–283; 3. Cherney DZ, et al. *Circulation* 2014;129:587–597;
4. Heerspink HJL, et al. *Diabetes Care* 2011;34(Suppl. 2):S325–S329

DAPA-CKD was a landmark trial assessing forxiga in over 4,000 patients with CKD, with and without T2D ^{1,2}



^aESKD defined as the need for maintenance dialysis (peritoneal or hemodialysis) for more than 28 days, renal transplantation or sustained eGFR <15mL/min/1.73m² for at least 28 days.

ACEi = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ANCA = anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody; ARB = angiotensin-receptor blocker; CKD = chronic kidney disease; CV = cardiovascular; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESKD = end-stage kidney disease; hHF = hospitalization for heart failure; T1D = type 1 diabetes; T2D = type 2 diabetes; UACR = urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio.



1 Hearspink Hill at al Nanhral Dial Transplant 2020:35:274, 282: 2 Hearspink Hill at al N Engl I Med 2020: 383:1436-1446

Treatment with forxiga has shown to reduce the risk of the composite of declining kidney function, ESKD, and renal or CV death^{1,2}



*Primary composite endpoint of \geq 50% sustained decline in eGFR, reaching ESKD, and renal or CV death¹. ESKD defined as the need for maintenance dialysis (peritoneal or haemodialysis) for at least 28 days and renal transplantation or sustained eGFR <15 mL/min/1.73m² for at least 28 days¹; [†]There was no significant interaction of the effect on the primary composite endpoint by diabetes status (p for interaction = 0.24).²

ARR = absolute risk reduction; CI = confidence interval; CKD = chronic kidney disease; CV = cardiovascular; DAPA-CKD = Dapagliflozin And Prevention of Adverse outcomes in Chronic Kidney Disease; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESKD = end-stage kidney disease; HR = hazard ratio; NNT = number needed to treat; RRR = relative risk reduction; T2D = Type 2 diabetes.

Reference: 1.Heerspink HJL et al. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(15):1436–1446.2. Wheeler DC et al. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2021;9(1):22–31



forxiga Offered consistent protection by reducing the risk of the primary composite endpoint in patients with or without T2D^{1*}

DAPA-CKD EXPLORATORY SUBGROUP ANALYSIS: DECLINING KIDNEY FUNCTION. ESKD. AND RENAL OR CV DEATH^{2*}



*Primary composite endpoint of ≥50% sustained decline in eGFR, reaching ESKD, and renal or CV death. ESKD defined as the need for maintenance dialysis (peritoneal or haemodialysis) for at least 28 days and renal transplantation or sustained eGFR <15 mL/min/1.73m2 for at least 28 days.¹

ARR = absolute risk reduction; CI = confidence interval; CKD = chronic kidney disease; CV = cardiovascular; DAPA-CKD = Dapagliflozin And Prevention of Adverse outcomes in Chronic Kidney Disease; HR = hazard ratio; RRR = relative risk reduction; T2D = Type 2 diabetes.

Reference: 1. Wheeler DC et al. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2021;9(1):22-31. 2. Heerspink HJL et al. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(15):1436-1446.

Patients without T2D¹

C

The significant effect on the composite endpoint of CV death or hospitalization for heart failure was consistent with previous Forxiga trials¹



• ARR = absolute risk reduction; CV = cardiovascular; DAPA = dapagliflozin; HR = hazard ratio; RRR = relative risk reduction.

• 1. Heerspink HJL et al. N Engl J Med. 2020; 383:1436-1446; 2. Heerspink HJL. Presented at: ESC Congress – The Digital Experience; August 29 – September 1, 2020.

©

forxiga decreased the risk of progression to the renal composite endpoint^a across the spectrum of renal function

	Dapagliflozin		Plac	Placebo			
	n/N (%)	KM event rate (4 years)	n/N (%)	KM event rate (4 years)	•	Hazard ratio (95% Cl)	<i>P</i> value
Baseline eGFR							
≥90 mL/min/1.73 m²	41/4137 (1.0)	1.0%	79/4025 (2.0)	2.0%	⊢∎⊣	0.50 (0.34, 0.73)	0.87
60–<90 mL/min/1.73 m ²	65/3838 (1.7)	1.6%	121/3894 (3.1) 2.8%	⊢ ∎	0.54 (0.40, 0.73)	
<60 mL/min/1.73 m ²	21/606 (3.5)	3.8%	38/659 (5.8)	5.8%	■	0.60 (0.35, 1.02)	
Baseline UACR							
<30 mg/g	50/5819 (0.9)	0.9%	95/5825 (1.6)	1.5%	⊢■→	0.52 (0.37, 0.74)	0.30
30–300 mg/g	39/2017 (1.9)	2.0%	66/2013 (3.3)	3.3%	⊢■→	0.59 (0.39, 0.87)	
>300 mg/g	31/594 (5.2)	4.8%	75/575 (13.0)	12.8%		0.38 (0.25, 0.58)	
				0.1	<u>0.5</u> 1.⊓	$0 \xrightarrow{1.5}$	
					Favors dapagliflozin	Favors placebo	

^aThis was a prespecified exploratory endpoint, defined as sustained eGFR decrease ≥40% to <60 mL/min/1.73 m², ESRD, or renal death. Due to the trial meeting only one of its primary efficacy endpoints for superiority (CV death or hHF), all other analyses of additional outcomes should be considered hypothesis-generating only

CI, confidence interval; CV, cardiovascular; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; hHF, hospitalization for heart failure; KM, Kaplan–Meier; UACR, urine albumin:creatinine ratio

Mosenzon O, et al. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2019;7:606-617

© .

The effects of SGLT2 inhibition on glomerular pressure drive an initial decline in eGFR, which subsequently stabilizes

The initial reduction in eGFR induced by SGLT2 inhibitors is intrinsic to the SGLT2 inhibitor mechanism of action¹





+P<0.0001, eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; SGLT2, sodium-glucose co-transporter 2; T2D, Type 2 diabetes 1. Konishi H, et al. J Endocrinol Metab 2018;8:106–112; 2. Mosenzon O, et al. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2019;7:606–61

forxiga.consistently reduced the risk of microalbuminuria progression in T2D Patients



References:. 1. Wiviott SD et al. N Engl J Med. 2019;380(4):347-357; 2. Raz I et al. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2018;20(5):1102-1110; 3. Mosenzon O, et al. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2019;7(8):606-617; 4. Zelniker TA et al. Article and supplementary appendix. JAMA Cardiol. 2021;6(7):801-810.



forxiga gives your patient the chance for Dialysis Free Years!¹⁻³



*Delaying mean time to end stage renal disease² eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; PBO, placebo



forxiga reduces UACR, an independent risk factor for CV death¹







CI = confidence interval; IQR = interquartile range; T2D = type 2 diabetes; UACR = urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio Jongs N et al. Presented at: ERA-EDTA Congress; June 5-8, 2021; Virtual.

forxiga showed consistent safety profile in CKD patients with or without T2D^{1,2}

Prespecified selected safety outcomes by diabetes status²

	Patients w	ith T2D	Patients without T2D		
Select AEs	FORXIGA 10 mg (n=1453)	Placebo (n=1450)	FORXIGA 10 mg (n=696)	Placebo (n=699)	
Diabetic ketoacidosis	0	2	-	-	
Major hypoglycaemia	14	28	-	-	
Volume depletion	92	71	35	19	
Amputation	35	38	0	1	
Fracture	65	51	20	18	
Renal AE (e.g acute kidney injury)	121	148	34	40	

 \checkmark In patients with or without T2D, incidence of hyperkalaemia was 0.3% in patients on FORXIGA vs 0.6% in patients receiving placebo³

In DAPA-CKD, rates of overall SAEs were lower with FORXIGA vs. placebo (633/2149[30%] vs. 729/2149[34%], p=0.002 ^y

No occurrences of severe hypoglycaemic events, or diabetic ketoacidosis, or DKA were observed in patients without T2D in DAPACKD.²

*All cases of diabetic ketoacidosis occurred in patients with diabetes at baseline²; **Adverse event with the following criteria, confirmed by the investigator: symptoms of severe impairment in consciousness or behaviour, need for external assistance, use of an intervention to treat hypoglycaemia, and prompt recovery of acute symptoms following the intervention².

AE=adverse event; CKD=chronic kidney disease; DAPA-CKD=Dapagliflozin And Prevention of Adverse outcomes in Chronic Kidney Disease; DKA=diabetic ketoacidosis; SAE=serious adverse event; T2D=Type 2 diabetes

References: 1.Heerspink HJL et al. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(15):1436–1446.2. Wheeler DC et al. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2021;9(1):22–31 3.Supplement to: Heerspink HJL et al. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(15):1436–1446. 1446.



forxiga has demonstrated a consistent safety profile in >32,000 patients across DECLARE-TIMI 58, DAPA-HF, DAPA-CKD, and DELIVER¹⁻⁴

Select AEs	DECLARE-TIMI 58 (CVOT in T2D) ¹		DAPA-HF (HFrEF) ² DAPA-C		CKD ³	DELIVER ⁴		
	Dapagliflozin 10mg (n=8574)	Placebo (n=8569)	Dapagliflozin 10mg (n=2368)	Placebo (n=2368)	Dapagliflozin 10 mg (n=2149)	Placebo (n=2149)	Dapagliflozin 10mg (N=3131)	Placebo (n=3127)
Diabetic ketoacidosis	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	<0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Severe hypoglycemiaª	0.7%	1.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.7%	1.3%	0.2%	0.2%
Volume depletion	2.5%	2.4%	7.5%	6.8%	5.9%	4.2%	1.3%	1.0%
Amputation	1.4%	1.3%	0.5%	0.5%	1.6%	1.8%	0.6%	0.8%
Fracture	5.3%	5.1%	2.1%	2.1%	4.0%	3.2%	TBD	TBD
Hyperkalemia	No hyperkalemia (not listed in SmPC)			No increase in either mild or moderate/severe hypokalemia observed		TBD	TBD	
Renal AE	1.5% ^b	2.0% ^b	6.5%	7.2%	7.2%	8.7%	2.3%	2.5%
Serious UTI	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%	0.7%	0.9%	0.7%	TBD	TBD

Prespecified selected safety outcomes across dapagliflozin clinical trials

It is estimated that >23 million patients were treated with FORXIGA and XIGDUO across indications throughout 2023⁶

^aSevere hypoglycemia was defined in DAPA-CKD and DELIVER as hypoglycemia with the following criteria, confirmed by the investigator: symptoms of severe impairment in consciousness or behavior, need for external assistance, use of an intervention to treat hypoglycemia, and prompt recovery of acute symptoms following the intervention.^{2,4,5} Severe hypoglycemia was defined in DECLARE and DAPA-HF as hypoglycemia requiring the assistance of another person to actively administer carbohydrates or glucagon or to take other corrective action.^{1,2} All cases of major hypoglycemia in DAPA-CKD and DAPA-HF occurred in patients with diabetes at baseline^{2,3}; ^bAcute kidney injury¹ AE, adverse event; CVOT, cardiovascular outcomes trial; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; SmPC, Summary of Product Characteristics; T2D, Type 2 diabetes; TBD, to be determined; UTI, urinary tract infection

forxiga

1. Wiviott SD, et al. *N Engl J Med* 2019;380:347–357; 2. McMurray J, et al. *N Engl J Med* 2019;381:1995–2008; 3. Heerspink HJL, et al. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:1436–1446; 4. Solomon S, et al. *N Engl J Med* 2022;387:1089–1098; 5. AstraZeneca UK Limited. FORXIGA Summary of Product Characteristics [YEAR]. Available at: [Placeholder for link subject to final approval by the European Medical Agency]; 6. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP. Data on File

FORXIGA is the ONLY SGLT2i to demonstrate a significant reduction in allcause mortality & CV death/hHF in CKD Patients with or without T2D



C

- Study results not to be directly compared due to differences in design, patient populations, and treatment groups
- Note: green check indicates the endpoint met statistical significance
- ^aMedian follow-up of 2.4 years; ^bMedian follow-up of 2.0 years
- CV, cardiovascular; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESKD, end-stage kidney disease; hHF, hospitalization for heart failure; NNT, number needed to treat;

NS, non-significant; RRR, relative risk reduction; SGLT2, sodium-glucose co-transporter 2

• 1. Heerspink HJL, et al. N Engl J Med 2020:383:1436–1446: 2. The EMPA-KIDNEY Collaborative Group, N Engl J Med 2023:388:117–127



- Recommendation 3.6.1:
- We recommend treating adults with CKD and Heart failure or eGFR ≥20 mL/min/1.73 m² with UACR ≥200 mg/g with an SGLT2 inhibitor (1A)
- Recommendation 3.6.2:
- We suggest treating adults with eGFR ≥20-45 mL/min/1.73 m² with UACR <200 mg/g with an SGLT2 inhibitor (2B)

^aPresentation includes draft guideline statements that are subject to change. Note: Level 1 = "We recommend" and Grade A = High quality of evidence; Level 2 = "We suggest" and Grade B = Moderate quality of evidence.^{2.} CKD = chronic kidney disease; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; ERA = Level KDIGO = Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes;

SGLT2 = sodium-glucose cotransporter 2; UACR = une atumo contractive not. 1. Madero M. Present at 60th EAA Corgress: June 16-18, 2022 Main, lay or Vinal, heps/higo.org/contence/es/2023-dod guideline data preview: 2 KDIGO. KDIGO methods manual for guideline developments

2023 KDIGO Holistic approach to chronic kidney disease (CKD) treatment and risk modification.







Practical Approach to Prescribing SGLT2i



 Zoungas S, de Boer IH. SGLT2i in diabetic kidney disease . Clin J Am Soc Nephrol ₅₇. 2021;16(4): 631-633

Cost-Effectiveness of Dapagliflozin as a Treatment for Chronic Kidney Disease

Outcome	Dapagliflozin plus Standard Therapy	Standard Therapy	Incremental
United Kingdom			
Total costs (95% CrI), \$	109,596 (77,765 to 133,287)	102,774 (74,017 to 126,749)	6822 (-3293 to 17,138)
Drug acquisition	6034	700	5334
CKD management (not on KRT)	36,815	34,920	1895
KRT	63,357	63,826	-469
Adverse events, hospitalization for	3391	3328	63
heart failure, and acute decline in kidney function			
Total QALYs gained (95% CrI)	8.68 (6.79 to 9.72)	7.86 (6.21 to 9.00)	0.82 (0.34 to 1.17)
ICER, \$/QALY	` ´	· _ /	8280
Germany			
Total costs (95% CrI), \$	254,579 (186,892 to 304,520)	236,908 (174,288 to 286,922)	17,671 (-3328 to 35,900)
Drug acquisition	7428	417	7011
CKD management (not on KRT)	128,095	117,133	10,962
KRT	114,735	115,391	-656
Adverse events, hospitalization for heart failure, and acute decline in kidney function	4321	3967	354
Total QALYs gained (95% CrI)	10.32 (7.96 to 11.49)	9.32 (7.28 to 10.65)	1.00 (0.43 to 1.40)
ICER, \$/QALY		· _ /	17,623
Spain			
Total costs (95% CrI), \$	164,048 (118,905 to 202,510)	152,862 (112,237 to 191,511)	11,186 (-2903 to 24,614)
Drug acquisition	4447	596	3851
CKD management (not on KRT)	74,305	67,320	6985
KRT	81,490	81,660	-170
Adverse events, hospitalization for	3807	3286	521
heart failure, and acute decline in			
kidney function			
Total QALYs gained (95% CrI)	9.79 (7.56 to 11.20)	8.83 (6.89 to 10.28)	0.96 (0.43 to 1.41)
ICER, \$/QALY	_	_	11,687

Health- Economic Analysis of DAPA-CKD

ICER= Incremental Increase in Cost / Incremental Increase in QALY

ICER for Dapagliflozin (Germany) = \$17,671/1= \$17,671

This is below the Willingness to Pay / 1 QALY gained threshold, of \$40,000 for Germany - Hence DRUG APPROVED.

Cost-Effectiveness of Dapagliflozin as a treatment for Chronic Kidney Disease . McEwan et al ; CJASN 17: 1730-1741 , 2022

٠

Case :

43-year-old Male DM x 14 years Weight : 168 kg BMI : 48

Labs: eGFR = 65 ml/min uPCR= 2.4- 3.2 g/g Cr

Meds: Patient on ARB Assessment : Uncontrolled Proteinuria with DM and CKD Progressive Decline in eGFR > 5ml/min/year

Plan : What New Treatment Options Available



Case:

68 year old female , CKD 4 , T2DM x 20 years uPCR = 6 g/g Creatinine S.Cr = 180 umol/l eGFR = 25 ml/min Meds : Valsartan 160 mg /day

Started on Combination of Dapagliflozin 10 mg/day and Fineronone 10 mg /day

After 6 months uPCR is 1.5 g/g and eGFR 21 ml/min



Case:

26 year old female with IgA Nephropathy

On ARB

Started on Dapagliflozin with improvement in uPCR

eGFR stable over last 36 months



Summary & Conclusions



39% RRR

for the primary composite endpoint (≥50% sustained decline in eGFR, ESKD, renal or CV death) **44%** RRR for the renal composite (≥50% sustained decline in eGFR, ESKD, or renal death) **29%** RRR for the composite of CV death or hospitalization for heart failure

31% RRR

all-cause mortality

Consistent clinical benefits in patients with CKD across major subgroups including in patients with and without T2D, and by baseline eGFR and UACR categories

Dapagliflozin was well-tolerated for the treatment of CKD (in patients with and without T2D) and data confirm the known safety profile

DAPA-CKD builds upon the evidence for dapagliflozin in the prevention of hHF and worsening of kidney disease in DECLARE² and reduction in the risk of worsening HF and CV death in DAPA-HF³

CKD = chronic kidney disease; CV = cardiovascular; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESKD = end-stage kidney disease; HF = heart failure; hHF = hospitalization for heart failure; RRR = relative risk reduction; SGLT-2 = sodium glucose co-transporter 2; T2D = type 2 diabetes; UACR = urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio.

1. Heerspink HJL et al. N Engl J Med. 2020; 383:1436-1446. 2. Wiviott SD. et al. N Engl J Med. 2019;380:347-357. 3. McMurray JJV et al. N Engl J Med. 2019;381:1995-2008.



For Reporting Adverse events and /or Product Quality Complains:



Website: <u>https://contactazmedical.astrazeneca.com</u>



• E-mail: <u>Patientsafety-azgulf@astrazeneca.com</u>



• Call AstraZeneca FZ LLC land line : +97143624888.

For Medical information Enquires :



Website: https://contactazmedical.astrazeneca.com





• Call AstraZeneca FZ LLC land line : +97143624888

Thank You